AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. David Carl Heinsen Defendant	Case No. 1:15-cr-00164-PLM
	lucting a detention hearing under the be detained pending trial.	he Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		Part I – Findings of Fact
(1) The defe a f existed -	endant is charged with an offense of federal offense a state or loca	described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of al offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	crime of violence as defined in 18 blinch the prison term is 10 years or	U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for more.
ar	n offense for which the maximum se	entence is death or life imprisonment.
ar	n offense for which a maximum pris	son term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	felony committed after the defenda .S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compa	ant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 arable state or local offenses.
ar	ny felony that is not a crime of viole a minor victim	
	the possession or use of a failure to register unde	f a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon er 18 U.S.C. § 2250
(2) The offe or local		ommitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	d of less than 5 years has elapsed s described in finding (1).	since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
		able presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another it defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
		e defendant has committed an offense
<u>C</u>	r which a maximum prison term of ontrolled Substances Act (21 U.S.C) ader 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defe	endant has not rebutted the presun	nption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions bearance and the safety of the community.
✓ (1) There is	a serious risk that the defendant w	Alternative Findings (B)
、 ,		vill endanger the safety of another person or the community.
(,		tement of the Reasons for Detention
I find that tevidence a p	the testimony and information subroreponderance of the evidence that	mitted at the detention hearing establishes by <a>- clear and convincing
 Defendant wai Defendant has 	ived his detention hearing, electing s been in state custody and would r	not to contest detention at this time.
The defen corrections facility appeal. The defer States Court or on	Part III – Idant is committed to the custody of separate, to the extent practicable indant must be afforded a reasonab	Directions Regarding Detention If the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a reference, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending all opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United rernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the
	·	odge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody

Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge